

Manure Processing: Microbial Impacts on Manure Management Strategies

July 13, 2016

Becky Larson Assistant Professor and Extension Specialist Biological Systems Engineering University of Wisconsin-Madison







Why Apply Manure to Alfalfa?



- requires phosphorus and potassium
- benefit from the micronutrients, particularly boron
- removes large amounts of nitrogen from the field when harvested as hay, and draw down nitrate levels within its root zone
- typically have low erosion, nutrient runoff and nutrient leaching potential
- opportunities for manure application throughout the year



Area of manure application	Small (1-99)	Medium (100-199)	Large (200-999)	Permitted (>=1000)	All
(Ha)	83 / 72	121 / 105	286 / 248	788 / 738	418 / 267
	8	19	35	35	97
(Ha/AU)	1.07 / 0.97	0.88 / 0.77	0.68 / 0.59	0.40 / 0.34	0.65 / 0.54
	8	19	35	35	97

Application Timing





Manure Application









Draghose Lines













Risk vs. Distance







AGI: acute gastrointestinal illness, GN: gram negative bacteria, BB: bovine Bacteroides

Manure Systems



Processing/Treatment Sand Removal Storage Transfer and Land Collection Application Solid Solid Removal Storage Manure Manure Production Transfer and and Collection Application Liquid Digestion Storage Advanced Treatment

Manure Handling





Anaerobic Digestion





Covered Lagoons





Dry Digestion



OshKosh Dry Digester, BIOFerm

Small Scale Digesters



Community Digesters Dane County Digester, 2011

Agricultural Digesters

- 247 digesters in the U.S.
- 37 in Wisconsin
 - 35 different facilities
 - All dairy facilities
 - All liquid manure based systems
- ~300,000 metric tons CO₂ eq/year removal
 - Equal to:
 - 63,000 passenger cars, or
 - 322 million lbs of coal burned, or
 - 83 wind turbines
- 229 WI dairy CAFO (>1,000 Animal units) facilities
 - ~12% have digesters

Animals (No. of head)	Operational		
< 1,000	9		
1,000-2,000	9		
> 2,000	19		



WISCONSIN **AD Process Flow** Biogas Feedstock's Slurry Filtrate (liquid) Digestate Separator Fiber (solid)

End Products



IN

Odor

Swine Manure

(Hansen et al. 2006, Applied Engineering in Agriculture)

 Malodorous VFA reduced 79-97%

00 mg

- Odor reduced above undisturbed slurry store reduced
- Land application odor reductions:
 - 17% AD
 - 50% AD + SLS



(Orzi et al., 2015, Science of the Total Environment)

Odors reduced 98%



Bovine Polyomavirus





Bovine Polyomavirus





Antibiotic Degradation



- Many antibiotics to be tested
- Not many studies to date
- May increase intermediates
- Beef manure studies by Arikan (2006, 2007, 2008) found some degradation in initial component, increases in some intermediaries



I Total CTC I water-soluble CTC I solid extractable CTC I Total ECTC I Water-soluble ECTC I solid-extractable ECTC

Alvarez et al., 2010, Bioresource Technology

- Fate of antibiotics in pig manure in AD
- oxytetracycline (OTC) and chlortetracycline (CTC)
- Reduction in antibiotics over time, as well as methane production
- Antibiotics adsorbed to solids increasing duration for destruction

Global Warming Potential



 $\square \operatorname{CO2}(\mathsf{f}) \ \square \operatorname{CO2}(\mathsf{b}) \ \blacksquare \operatorname{N2O}(\mathsf{f}) \ \square \operatorname{N2O}(\mathsf{b}) \ \blacksquare \operatorname{CH4}(\mathsf{f}) \ \blacksquare \operatorname{CH4}(\mathsf{b}) \ \blacksquare \operatorname{NET}$

Thank You!







